

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/226011210>

# Ergodicity of infinite systems of stochastic equations

Article in *Mathematical Notes* · January 1989

DOI: 10.1007/BF01158894

---

CITATIONS

5

---

READS

16

3 authors, including:



**Malyshev Vadim**

Lomonosov Moscow State University

254 PUBLICATIONS 2,474 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

ERGODICITY OF INFINITE SYSTEMS OF  
STOCHASTIC EQUATIONS

V. A. Malyshev, V. A. Podorol'skii,  
and T. S. Turova

We consider the system of random variables  $\xi_z^t$ , indexed by the lattice points  $z \in \mathbf{Z}^v$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$ , satisfying the system of Ito stochastic equations:

$$d\xi_z^t = [b_0(\xi_z^t) + \varepsilon b_1(\xi_{z+D}^t)] dt + dW_z^t, \quad (1)$$

where  $D = \{l_1 = 0, l_2, \dots, l_N\} \subset \mathbf{Z}^v$ ,  $\xi_{z+D}^t = (\xi_{z+l_1}^t, \dots, \xi_{z+l_N}^t)$ , while the functions  $b_0: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and  $b_1: \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfy the conditions:

a) there exist  $\beta_0 > 0$ ,  $Q > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} b_0(u) &> \beta_0, \quad \text{if } u < -Q, \\ b_0(u) &< -\beta_0, \quad \text{if } u > Q; \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

b) the function  $b_0$  has continuous derivatives up to and including the third order and for some  $B_0 > 0$  one has

$$|b_0(u)|, |b_0^{(n)}(u)| < B_0 \quad (n = 1, 2, 3), \quad u \in \mathbf{R}; \quad (3)$$

c) the function  $b_1$  has continuous partial derivatives up to and including the third order and for some  $B_1 > 0$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} |b_1(\bar{u})|, \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} b_1(\bar{u}) \right|, \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} b_1(\bar{u}) \right|, \left| \frac{\partial^3 b_1(\bar{u})}{\partial u_i \partial u_j \partial u_k} \right| < B_1 \\ (1 \leq i, j, k \leq N). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Assume, in addition, that

$$\xi_z^0 = \eta_z, \quad z \in \mathbf{Z}^v, \quad (1')$$

where  $\eta_z$  are random variables such that for some  $a > 0$ ,  $C > 0$  we have

$$\mathbf{M} \exp \{a(|\eta_{z_1}| + \dots + |\eta_{z_k}|\}) \leq C^k$$

for all  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ;  $z_1, \dots, z_k \in \mathbf{Z}^v$ ;  $z_i \neq z_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

The fundamental feature of this system is its invariance with respect to the lattice shifts. Existence and uniqueness theorems for such systems have been considered in [1, 2]. However, ergodicity has been investigated only for linear systems [3], for systems with monotonicity properties [4], or for similar systems with discrete time [5, 6].

Here we consider the case of a small perturbation of a system without interaction, i.e. a system of processes, indexed by the points  $z \in \mathbf{Z}^v$  and independent for various  $t$  for any fixed realization  $\eta = \{\eta_z\}$ . This system (1) admits complete control: an explicit series for finite-dimensional limit distributions, exponential convergence, etc.

The fundamental result of this paper consists in the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $\xi_z^t$ ,  $z \in \mathbf{Z}^v$ ,  $t > 0$ , be the process defined by the system (1), (1') and assume that the conditions (2)-(4) are satisfied. Then there exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ ,  $\vartheta > 0$ ,  $t_0 > 0$ , such that for any finite subset  $X$  of  $\mathbf{Z}^v$ , any  $\varepsilon$ ,  $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_0$ , any  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $t' > 0$ ,  $v_X \in \mathbf{R}^{|X|}$  we have

$$|p^X(t+t', v_X) - p^X(t, v_X)| < \Theta(|X|) e^{-\vartheta t},$$

where  $\Theta(|X|) > 0$ ,  $p^X(t, v_X)$  is the probability density of the process  $(\xi_z^t, z \in X)$ .

We note that for an infinite system the transition probabilities  $P(\bar{\xi}, d\bar{\xi}', t)$  are singular measures. Therefore, the standard methods for proving ergodicity cannot be applied here. Our methods combine the methods of Lyapunov functions for chains with transition densities, coupling methods, the parametric method, and the method of cluster expansions.

1. The Exponential Convergence of the Density of an "Unperturbed" Process. THEOREM

2. Let  $\xi(t), t \in [0, \infty)$  be a one-dimensional homogeneous Markov process, for which there exist densities  $p_{uv}^t$  of the probabilities of the transition in time  $t$  from the point  $u$  to the point  $v$ , and assume that there exist  $0 < t_1 < t_2, Q > 0$  such that for some nonnegative function  $f$ , monotonically increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , the following conditions hold:

A) there exist  $B, \beta > 0$  such that

$$p_{uv}^\tau < B e^{\beta(f(|u|) - f(|v|))}, \quad p_{uv}^\tau < B, \quad u, v \in \mathbf{R}, \quad t_1 \leq \tau \leq t_2; \quad (5)$$

B) there exist  $E > 0, \varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$M \{f(|\xi(t + \tau)|) - f(|\xi(t)|) \mid f(|\xi(t)|) = u\} < -\varepsilon, \quad (6)$$

$$M \{[f(|\xi(t + \tau)|) - f(|\xi(t)|)]^2 \mid f(|\xi(t)|) = u\} < E \quad (7)$$

for any  $u > Q, t_1 \leq \tau \leq t_2$ .

Then there exist  $\Delta > 0, \delta > 0, 0 < h < \beta, t_0 > 0$  such that

$$|p_{uv}^{t+t'} - p_{vw}^t| < \Delta e^{-\delta t} e^{h f(\max\{|u|, |v|\})}, \quad (8)$$

$$\int_{|x| > |w|} |p_{ux}^{t+t'} - p_{vx}^t| dx < \Delta e^{-\delta t} e^{h[f(\max\{|u|, |v|\}) - f(|w|)]} \quad (9)$$

for all  $u, v, w \in \mathbf{R}, t' \geq 0, t \geq t_0$ .

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the following result which is, basically, an analogue of Lemma 1.1 of [7]; we give it without proof.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\eta(t), t \in [0, \infty)$  be a homogeneous Markov process on a line, for which there exist transition probability densities  $\pi_{uv}^t$  and such that for some  $0 < t_1 < t_2$  one has:

A) there exist  $B > 0, \beta > 0$  such that

$$\pi_{uv}^\tau < B e^{\beta(u-v)}, \quad u, v \in \mathbf{R}, \quad t_1 \leq \tau \leq t_2;$$

B) there exist  $E > 0, \varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$M \{\eta(t + \tau) - \eta(t) \mid \eta(t) = u\} < -\varepsilon,$$

$$M \{[\eta(t + \tau) - \eta(t)]^2 \mid \eta(t) = u\} < E$$

for any  $u \in \mathbf{R}, t_1 \leq \tau \leq t_2$ .

Then there exist  $A > 0, \alpha > 0, 0 < h < \beta$  such that

$$P \{\eta(t) > v \mid \eta(0) = u\} < A e^{-\alpha t} e^{h(u-v)}, \quad u, v \in \mathbf{R}, \quad t > 0.$$

For the proof of Theorem 2 we consider the process  $(\xi_1(t), \xi_2(t))$  on  $\mathbf{R}^2, t = 0, t_1, 2t_1, \dots$ , defined by the densities of the probabilities of transition in time  $t_1$  from the point  $(u, v)$  into the point  $(u', v')$ :

$$p(t_1; u, v; u', v') = \begin{cases} p_{uv}^{t_1} \delta_{u'v'}, & \text{if } u = v, \\ p_{uv}^{t_1} \cdot p_{v'v'}^{t_1}, & \text{if } u \neq v, (u, v) \notin [-Q, Q]^2, \\ \chi(u, v; u', v') + \frac{1}{1 - X(u, v)} (p_{uv}^{t_1} - \chi(u, v; u', u')) \cdot \\ \cdot (p_{v'v'}^{t_1} - \chi(u, v; v', v')), & \text{if } u \neq v, \\ & (u, v) \in [-Q, Q]^2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\chi(u, v; u', v') = \min \{p_{uu'}^{t_1}, p_{vv'}^{t_1}\},$$

$$X(u, v) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \chi(u, v; u', u') du',$$

$\delta_{uv}$  is the  $\delta$ -function on  $\mathbf{R}$  if one of the variables  $(u, v)$  is fixed ( $\delta_{uv} = 0$  if  $u \neq v$ ).

We mention some properties of the process  $(\xi_1(t), \xi_2(t))$ :

$$1) \int_{\mathbf{R}} p(t_1; u, v; u', v') dv' = p_{uu'}^t, \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} p(t_1; u, v; u', v') du' = p_{vv'}^t; \quad (10)$$

2) if  $(\xi_1(t), \xi_2(t)) = (u, v) \in [-Q, Q]^2$ , then

$$P\{\xi_1(t+t_1) = \xi_2(t+t_1) | (\xi_1(t), \xi_2(t)) = (u, v)\} = \int_{\mathbf{R}} p(t_1; u, v; u', u') du' = X(u, v) > 0; \quad (11)$$

3) if  $\xi_1(t) = \xi_2(t)$  then  $\xi_1(t+t_1) = \xi_2(t+t_1)$ .

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $\lambda$  be the moment when the process  $(\xi_1(t), \xi_2(t))$  hits for the first time the set  $q = \{(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2: u = v\}$ . Then there exist  $\Gamma, \gamma, h > 0$  such that

$$P\{\lambda > t, |\xi_1(t)| > U, |\xi_2(t)| > V | (\xi_1(0), \xi_2(0)) = (u, v)\} \\ \leq \Gamma e^{-\gamma t} e^{h[(\max\{|u|, |v|\}) - f(\max\{U, V\})]}, \quad \forall U, V \geq 0, u, v \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (12)$$

**Proof.** Let  $t = nt_1$ . We set

$$\bar{p}(t; u_0, v_0; u_n, v_n; T_1, \dots, T_k) \\ = \begin{cases} \int_{G_1} \dots \int_{G_{n-1}} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n p(t_1; u_{i-1}, v_{i-1}; u_i, v_i) \right] \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} du_j dv_j \right], & u_n \neq v_n; \\ 0, & u_n = v_n; \end{cases}$$

where

$$G_i = (\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus [-Q, Q]^2) \setminus q, \quad \text{if } it_1 \notin \{T_1, \dots, T_k\}, \\ G_i = [-Q, Q]^2 \setminus q, \quad \text{if } it_1 \in \{T_1, \dots, T_k\}; \\ \bar{p}(t; u_0, v_0; u_n, v_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{0 < T_1 < \dots < T_k \leq t} \bar{p}(t; u_0, v_0, u_n, v_n; \\ T_1, \dots, T_k); \\ \bar{p}(t; u_0, v_0; G; T_1, \dots, T_k) = \int_{G \setminus q} \bar{p}(t; u_0, v_0; u, v; T_1, \dots, T_k) du dv; \\ \bar{p}(t; u_0, v_0; G) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{0 < T_1 < \dots < T_k \leq t} \bar{p}(t; u_0, v_0; G; T_1, \dots, T_k). \quad (13)$$

We show that for

$$(u_0, v_0) \in [-Q, Q]^2, \bar{Q} = [-Q, Q]^2, \bar{p}(t; u_0, v_0; \bar{Q}) \leq \Gamma_1 e^{-\gamma_1 t}, \\ \Gamma_1, \gamma_1 > 0. \quad (14)$$

Applying Lemma 1, it is easy to show that

$$P\{(\xi_1(\tau), \xi_2(\tau)) \notin \bar{Q}, \tau = t_1, 2t_1, \dots, t, \\ |\xi_1(t)| > U, |\xi_2(t)| > V | (\xi_1(0), \xi_2(0)) = (u, v)\} \leq A e^{-\alpha t} e^{h[(\max\{|u|, |v|\}) - f(\max\{U, V\})]}, \\ \forall u, v \in \mathbf{R}; U, V > 0. \quad (15)$$

From here, in particular, we obtain: for some  $\tilde{A}, \tilde{\alpha} > 0$  we have

$$\bar{p}(t; u_0, v_0; \bar{Q}, t) \leq \tilde{A} e^{-\tilde{\alpha} t}, \quad (u_0, v_0) \in \bar{Q}. \quad (16)$$

From the positive recurrence of the process  $(\xi_1(t), \xi_2(t))$  and (11) there follows

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{p}(nt_1; u_0, v_0; \bar{Q}; nt_1) \leq 1 - \varepsilon_1, \text{ where } \varepsilon_1 > 0. \quad (17)$$

From (16) and (17) there follows the existence  $z_0 > 1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_0^n \bar{p}(nt_1; u_0, v_0; \bar{Q}; nt_1) < 1 - \varepsilon_2. \quad (18)$$

Taking into account (13) and making use of (18) one can show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_0^n \bar{p}(nt_1; u_0, v_0; \bar{Q}) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \varepsilon_2)^k < \infty,$$

which proves (14).

Now, from (14), (15), and (16) it is easy to derive

$$\iint_{|x|>U, |y|>V} \bar{p}(t; u_0, v_0; x, y) dx dy \leq \Gamma_2 e^{-\gamma_2 t} e^{-h t (\max\{U, V\})} \quad (19)$$

for some  $\Gamma_2, \gamma_2 > 0$ ,  $(u_0, v_0) \in \bar{Q}$ . From here and from (15) we obtain the estimate (12).

We proceed to the proof of Theorem 2. From the properties of the process  $(\xi_1(t), \xi_2(t))$  we have

$$\int_{|x|>|w|} |p_{ux}^t - p_{rx}^t| dx \leq 2 \iint_{|x|>0, |y|>|w|} \bar{p}(t; u, v; x, y) dx dy \leq 2\Gamma e^{-\gamma t} e^{h [f(\max\{|u|, |v|\}) - f(|w|)]}.$$

Then, for  $t_1 \leq \tau \leq t_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x|>|w|} |p_{ux}^{t+\tau} - p_{rx}^t| dx &= \int_{|x|>|w|} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_{uy}^\tau p_{yx}^t dy - \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_{uy}^\tau p_{rx}^t dy \right| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_{uy}^\tau \cdot 2\Gamma e^{-\gamma t} \exp\{h [f(\max\{|y|, |v|\}) - f(|w|)]\} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Making use of condition (5), it is easy to derive

$$\int_{|x|>|w|} |p_{ux}^{t+\tau} - p_{rx}^t| dx \leq \bar{\Gamma} e^{-\gamma t} \exp\{h [f(\max\{|u|, |v|\}) - f(|w|)]\} \quad (21)$$

for  $t_1 \leq \tau \leq t_2$  and for some  $\bar{\Gamma} > 0$ .

If  $t' > t_0$ , where  $t_0$  satisfies:  $t_1 \leq t_0 \left( \left[ \frac{t_0}{t_2} \right] + 1 \right)^{-1} < t_2$ ,  $T = \left[ \frac{t'}{t_2} \right] + 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x|>|w|} |p_{ux}^{t+t'} - p_{rx}^t| dx &\leq \int_{|x|>|w|} \sum_{k=1}^T |p_{ux}^{t+kt'/T} - p_{vx}^{t+(k-1)t'/T}| dx \\ &\leq \Delta_1 e^{-\gamma t} \exp\{h [f(\max\{|u|, |v|\}) - f(|w|)]\}, \text{ where } \Delta_1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar manner, for  $t', t'' > t_0$  we obtain

$$\int_{|x|>|w|} |p_{ux}^{t+t'} - p_{rx}^{t+t''}| dx \leq \Delta_2 e^{-\gamma t} \exp\{h [f(\max\{|u|, |v|\}) - f(|w|)]\},$$

from where there follows the validity of (9).

Statement (8) follows from the boundedness of  $p_{uv}^\tau$  for  $t_1 \leq \tau \leq t_2$  and from the inequality

$$|p_{uw}^{t+t'} - p_{vw}^t| \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} |p_{ux}^{t+t'-\tau} - p_{vx}^{t-\tau}| p_{xw}^\tau dx.$$

The theorem is proved.

## 2. Properties of the Density of the Transition Probability of the "Unperturbed" Process.

We consider the equation

$$d\xi_t = b_0(\xi_t) dt + dW_t, \quad (22)$$

where the function  $b_0(u)$ ,  $u \in \mathbf{R}$  satisfies the conditions (2), (3). It is known (see, for example, [8]) that under the indicated restrictions on  $b_0$  the process  $\xi_t$  has transition density  $p_0(t, u, v)$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbf{R}$  such that there exist  $(\partial/\partial t)p_0(t, u, v)$ ,  $(\partial/\partial u)p_0(t, u, v)$ ,  $(\partial^2/\partial u^2)p_0(t, u, v)$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbf{R}$ , and  $p_0(t, u, v)$  is bounded on any segment  $[t_1, t_2]$ ,  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ .

LEMMA 3. There exist  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0$  such that

$$p_0(t, u, v) < e^{\sigma_1 t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-(u-v)^2/2t-\sigma_2}, \quad (23)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial u} p_0(t, u, v) \right| < e^{\sigma_1 t} \frac{1}{t} e^{-(u-v)^2/2t-\sigma_2} \quad (24)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbf{R}$ .

LEMMA 4. There exist  $h > 0$ ,  $\delta, \sigma_3 > 0$ ,  $T > 0$  such that

$$p_0(t, u, v) < \sigma_3,$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial u} p_0(t, u, v) \right| < \sigma_3 e^{-\delta t} e^{h|u|}$$

for  $t > T$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbf{R}$ .

**LEMMA 5.** Let  $T$  be the quantity defined by Lemma 4. Then there exist  $\alpha, h, A > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} p_0(t, u, v) e^{h|v|} dv < A e^{h|u|}, \quad t > 0; \quad (25)$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial u} p_0(t, u, v) \right| e^{h|v|} dv < A \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} e^{h|u|}, \quad 0 < t \leq T; \quad (26)$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial u} p_0(t, u, v) \right| e^{h|v|} dv < A e^{-\alpha t} e^{h|u|}, \quad t > T. \quad (27)$$

The proofs of these lemmas carry a purely technical character and we omit them.

**3. Existence of the Densities of the Transition Probabilities for  $\xi_z^t, z \in \mathbf{Z}^v$ .** Let  $\Lambda$  be a bounded subset of  $\mathbf{Z}^v$ , and let  $D \subset \Lambda$ . We consider the system

$$\begin{cases} \xi_z^t = 0, & z \notin \Lambda; \\ d\xi_z^t = [b_0(\xi_z^t) + b_1(\xi_{z+D}^t)] dt + dW_z^t, & z \in \Lambda; \\ \xi_z^0 = u_z; \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

where  $|u_z| < C$ ,  $z \in \Lambda$ . This system defines a process on  $\mathbf{R}^{|\Lambda|}$ , whose transition function has density  $p^\Lambda(t, u, v)$ ,  $u = (u_z, z \in \Lambda)$ ,  $v = (v_z, z \in \Lambda)$ , satisfying the inverse Kolmogorov equation (the proof of this fact for  $|\Lambda| < \infty$  is similar to the proof in the one-dimensional case (see, for example, [8])). Thus,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p^\Lambda(t, u, v) = (H_0 + \varepsilon H_1) p^\Lambda(t, u, v), \quad (29)$$

where  $H_0 = \sum_{z \in \Lambda} b_0(u_z) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_z} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{z \in \Lambda} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_z^2}$ ,  $H_1 = \sum_{z \in \Lambda} b_1(u_{z+D}) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_z}$ . The density of the "unperturbed" process, satisfying the equation ( $\varepsilon = 0$ )

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p^\Lambda(t, u, v) = H_0 p^\Lambda(t, u, v),$$

will be denoted by  $p_0^\Lambda$ . Since each solution of the equation

$$p^\Lambda = p_0^\Lambda + \varepsilon \Phi p^\Lambda, \quad (30)$$

where  $(\Phi p^\Lambda)(t, u, v) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^{|\Lambda|}} p_0^\Lambda(t-s, u, u^1) H_1 p^\Lambda(s, u^1, v) du^1 ds$ , is a solution of equation (29), it follows that the solution of (30) is unique (see, for example, [9]). It is clear that in the case of the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k \Phi^k p_0^\Lambda \quad (31)$$

where  $\Phi^0 p_0^\Lambda = p_0^\Lambda$ ,  $\Phi^k p_0^\Lambda = \Phi \Phi^{k-1} p_0^\Lambda$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), this solution has the form

$$p^\Lambda = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k \Phi^k p_0^\Lambda.$$

**LEMMA 6.** The series (31) converges absolutely for each  $\varepsilon$ ,  $|\Lambda| < \infty$  and uniformly with respect to  $u, v \in \mathbf{R}^{|\Lambda|}$  for all  $t_0 > 0$ ,  $t \geq t_0$ .

**Proof.** By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^k p_0^\Lambda(t, u, v) &= \sum_{\bar{z}=(z_1, \dots, z_k) \in \Lambda^k} \int_0^s \dots \int_0^{s_{k-1}} p_0^\Lambda(s_0 - s_1; u^0, u^1) \cdot \\ &\cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \left[ b_1(u_{z_i+D}) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{z_i}^i} p_0^\Lambda(s_i - s_{i+1}, u^i, u^{i+1}) \right] du^1 \dots du^k d\bar{s}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where  $s_0 \equiv t$ ,  $s_{k+1} \equiv 0$ ,  $u^0 \equiv u$ ,  $u^{k+1} \equiv v$ ,  $d\bar{s} \equiv ds_k \dots ds_1$ .

From (32) it is easy to obtain

$$|\Phi^k p_0^\Lambda(t, u, v)| \leq \sum_{\bar{z} \in \Lambda^k} \int_0^{s_0} \dots \int_0^{s_{k-1}} \left( \prod_{y \in \{z_1, \dots, z_k\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} p_0(t - s_1, u_y, u_y^1) \cdot \left\{ \prod_{i: z_i=y} B_1 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial u_y^i} p_0(s_i - s_{i+1}, u_y^i, u_y^{i+1}) \right| \right\} \left\{ \prod_{i: z_i \neq y} p_0(s_i - s_{i+1}, u_y^i, u_y^{i+1}) \right\} \cdot du_y^1 \dots du_y^k \right) d\bar{s}.$$

From here and from (25)-(27) we find

$$|\Phi^k p_0^\Lambda(t, u, v)| \leq \sum_{\bar{z} \in \Lambda^k} \int_0^t \dots \int_0^{s_{k-1}} A_1 \sigma_3^{|\Lambda| - |\{z_1, \dots, z_k\}|} \cdot \left\{ \prod_{y \in \{z_1, \dots, z_k\}} A e^{h|u_y|} \left( \prod_{i: z_i=y} B_1 A F(s_i - s_{i+1}) A \right) \frac{e^{-\frac{(u_y - v_y)^2}{2t} \sigma_3}}{\sqrt{t}} \right\} d\bar{s}, \quad (33)$$

where  $A_1 > 0$ ,

$$F(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1/\sqrt{\tau}, & \tau < T; \\ e^{-\alpha\tau}, & \tau \geq T; \end{cases}$$

therefore,

$$|\Phi^k p_0^\Lambda(t, u, v)| \leq |\Lambda|^k e^{khC} S^k \sigma_3^{|\Lambda|} \frac{t^{k/2}}{\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]!} \quad (34)$$

for some  $S = S(t_0) > 0$ , from where we obtain the assertion of the lemma. The lemma is proved.

Assume further that  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ . We consider

$$p^{\Lambda, X}(t, u_\Lambda, v_X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{|\Lambda| - m}} p^\Lambda(t, u, v) \prod_{z \in \Lambda \setminus X} dv_z, \\ v_X \in \mathbb{R}^{|X|}, \quad u_\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{|\Lambda|}.$$

LEMMA 7. There exists

$$\lim_{\Lambda \uparrow Z^v} p^{\Lambda, X}(t, u_\Lambda, v_X) \equiv p^X(t, u, v_X), \\ u = (u_z, z \in Z^v), \quad |u_z| < C, \quad z \in Z^v. \quad (35)$$

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 6 we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{|\Lambda| - m}} p^\Lambda(t, u, v) \prod_{z \in \Lambda \setminus X} dv_z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^{|\Lambda| - m}} \Phi^k p_0^\Lambda(t, u, v) \cdot \prod_{z \in \Lambda \setminus X} dv_z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k \sum_{\bar{z} \in \Lambda^k} \int_0^t \dots \int_0^{s_{k-1}} \varphi(\bar{s}, \bar{z}, k, u, v) \cdot \left[ \prod_{z \in \Lambda \setminus X} dv_z \right] d\bar{s}, \quad (36)$$

where

$$\varphi(\bar{s}, \bar{z}, k, u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{|\Lambda|, k}} p_0^\Lambda(t - s_1, u, u^1) \left( \prod_{i=1}^k \left[ b_1(u_{z_i + D}) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{z_i}^i} p_0^\Lambda(s_i - s_{i+1}, u^i, u^{i+1}) \right] \right) du^1 \dots du^k.$$

Definition. By a cluster of power  $k$  with vertex  $X$  we shall mean any vector  $\bar{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$  such that  $\bar{z} \in Z^{v, k}$  and

- 1)  $z_k \in X$ ,
- 2)  $z_i \in \left\{ \bigcup_{j=i+1}^k \{z_j + D\} \right\} \cup X \quad (i = 1, \dots, k-1)$ .

Clearly, the summation in (36) is carried out in fact only over those  $\bar{z}$  which are clusters, the number of which does not exceed  $M^k$ ,  $M$  being a constant that depends on  $m, v, N$  (see [10]).

Thus, taking into account (34), we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^{|\Lambda|-m}} \Phi^k p_0^\Lambda(t, u, v) \prod_{z \in \Lambda \setminus X} dv_z \right| \leq M^k S_1^k \sigma_3^m e^{hCk} \frac{t^{k-1/2}}{\left[ \frac{k}{2} \right]!} \quad (37)$$

for some  $S_1 > 0$ , i.e., the series (36) converges uniformly with respect to  $|\Lambda| > 0$ . From here there follows the possibility of taking the limit  $\lim_{|\Lambda| \rightarrow \infty}$  under the summation sign of the series (36); further, from the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{|\Lambda|-m}} \Phi^k p_0^\Lambda(t, u, v) \prod_{z \in \Lambda \setminus X} dv_z = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{|\tilde{\Lambda}|-m}} \Phi^k p_0^{\tilde{\Lambda}}(t, u, v) \prod_{z \in \tilde{\Lambda} \setminus X} dv_z, \quad (38)$$

for all sufficiently large  $|\Lambda|$ ,  $|\tilde{\Lambda}|$ , we obtain the assertion of the lemma.

#### 4. The Exponential Convergence of $p^X(t, u, v_X)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

**THEOREM 3.** There exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ ,  $\theta > 0$ , such that for any finite subset  $X$  of  $Z^V$  and any  $\varepsilon$ ,  $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_0$ , there exist  $t_0 > 0$ ,  $\Theta = \Theta(|X|) > 0$ , such that

$$|p^X(t + t', u, v_X) - p^X(t, u, v_X)| \leq \Theta e^{-\theta t},$$

where  $p^X(t, u, v_X)$  is the density of the finite-dimensional distribution of the process  $\xi_Z^t$ ,  $z \in X$ , satisfying the system of equations (1) with initial conditions  $\xi_z^0 = u_z$ ,  $|u_z| < C$ ,  $z \in Z^V$ .

**Proof.** By virtue of the absolute convergence of the series (36) and the equality (38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |p^X(t + t', u, v_X) - p^X(t, u, v_X)| &\leq \left| \prod_{z \in X} p_0(t + t', u_z, v_z) - \prod_{z \in X} p_0(t, u_z, v_z) \right| \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^{|\Lambda_k|-m}} (\Phi^k p_0^{\Lambda_k}(t + t', u, v) - \Phi^k p_0^{\Lambda_k}(t, u, v)) \prod_{z \in \Lambda_k \setminus X} dv_z \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Lambda_k = \bigcup_{\bar{z}} (\bigcup_{i=1}^k \{z_i + D\})$ , while  $\bar{z}$  is a cluster of power  $k$  with vertex  $X$ . Now we estimate the difference

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^{|\Lambda_k|-m}} (\Phi^k p_0^{\Lambda_k}(t + t', u, v) - \Phi^k p_0^{\Lambda_k}(t, u, v)) \prod_{z \in \Lambda_k \setminus X} dv_z \right| &\leq \sum_{\bar{z}\text{-cluster}} (2\sigma_3)^{k-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left[ \int_0^t \dots \int_0^{s_{k-1}} \left( \prod_{y \in \{z_1, \dots, z_k\} \cup X} \right. \right. \\ &\cdot \left. \left. \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} |p_0(t + t' - s_1, u_y, u_y^1) - p_0(t - s_1, u_y, u_y^1)| \cdot \left\{ \prod_{i: z_i=y} B_1 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial u_y^i} p_0(s_i - s_{i+1}, u_y^i, u_y^{i+1}) \right| \right\} \right. \right. \\ &\cdot \left. \left. \left\{ \prod_{i: z_i \neq y} p_0(s_i - s_{i+1}, u_y^i, u_y^{i+1}) \right\} du_y^1 \dots du_y^k \right] d\bar{s} + \int_t^{t+t'} \dots \int_0^{s_{k-1}} \left[ \prod_{y \in \Lambda_k} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{|\Lambda_k|-m}} p_0(t + t' - s_1, u_y, u_y^1) \right. \\ &\cdot \left. \left[ \prod_{i: z_i=y} B_1 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial u_y^i} p_0(s_i - s_{i+1}, u_y^i, u_y^{i+1}) \right| \right] \right. \\ &\cdot \left. \left. \left\{ \prod_{i: z_i \neq y} p_0(s_i - s_{i+1}, u_y^i, u_y^{i+1}) \right\} du_y^k \dots du_y^1 \right] d\bar{s} \right] \prod_{y \in \Lambda_k \setminus X} dv_y. \end{aligned}$$

With the use of the previous estimates we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^{|\Lambda_k|-m}} (\Phi^k p_0^{\Lambda_k}(t + t', u, v) - \Phi^k p_0^{\Lambda_k}(t, u, v)) \prod_{z \in \Lambda_k \setminus X} dv_z \right| \\ &\leq M^k e^{hCk} \sigma_3^m S_2^k \left\{ \int_0^t \dots \int_0^{s_{k-1}} \left( \prod_{i=0}^k F(s_i - s_{i+1}) \right) d\bar{s} + \int_t^{t+t'} \dots \int_0^{s_{k-1}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k F(s_i - s_{i+1}) \right) d\bar{s} \right\}, \quad (39) \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_2 > 0$  is some constant, which, in turn, does not exceed

$$M^k (\exp \{hCk\}) \sigma_3^m S_3^k \left( e^{-\theta t} + \frac{(k+1)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{t}} T^{k/2} \right) \text{ for } k \geq \frac{t}{T} \quad S_3 > 0, \quad \theta_1 > 0,$$

and

$$M^k e^{hCk} \sigma_3^m S_3^k e^{-\theta_1 t} \text{ for } k < \frac{t}{T},$$

where  $T$  is defined in Lemma 4.



From here

$$|p^\lambda(t+t', u, v_X) - p^\lambda(t, u, v_X)| \leq \Delta m \sigma_3^{m-1} e^{-\delta t} e^{hC} + \sigma_3^m A' e^{-\theta' t},$$

$$\Delta, A', \theta' > 0,$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 1. We note that

$$p^X(t, v_X) = M_{\bar{\eta}} p^X(t, \xi^0, v_X).$$

Further, from the assertion of Lemma 1 we find that the constant  $h$  can be selected so that  $0 < h < a$ . Now it is easy to obtain the assertion of the theorem: for this it is sufficient to consider the system (1) with an arbitrary fixed initial condition  $\eta'$  and then to repeat the proof of Theorem 3, making use, instead of the estimates

$$\prod_{z=z_1, \dots, z_k} e^{h|u_z|} \leq e^{hCk}$$

in the formulas (33), (34), and (37), the estimates

$$M \left\{ \prod_{z=z_1, \dots, z_k} e^{h|u_z|} \right\} \leq M \left\{ \prod_{z=z_1, \dots, z_k} e^{a|u_z|} \right\} \leq C^k.$$

The theorem is proved.

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. Yu. L. Daletskii and S. V. Fomin, Measures and Differential Equations in Infinite-Dimensional Spaces [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1983).
2. R. Holley and D. Stroock, "Diffusions on an infinite dimensional torus," J. Funct. Anal., 42, No. 1, 29-63 (1981).
3. T. S. Turova, "Linear systems of stochastic differential equations with interaction and their perturbations," in: Numerical Analysis, Mathematical Modeling and Their Application in Mechanics [in Russian], Moscow State Univ. (1988), pp. 117-120.
4. T. V. Girya, "The statistical solution of nonlinear stochastic parabolic and hyperbolic equations," Candidate's Dissertation, Moscow (1981).
5. V. A. Malyshev and I. A. Ignatyuk, "Locally interacting processes with a noncompact set of values," Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. Mat. Mekh., No. 2, 3-6 (1987).
6. I. A. Ignatyuk and V. A. Malyshev, "Cluster expansion for locally interacting Markov chains," Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. Mat. Mekh., No. 5, 3-7 (1988).
7. V. A. Malyshev and M. V. Men'shikov, "Ergodicity, continuity, and analyticity of countable Markov chains," Trudy Mosk. Mat. Obshch., 39, 3-48 (1979).
8. I. I. Gikhman and A. V. Skorokhod, Stochastic Differential Equations [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1968).
9. A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs (1964).
10. V. A. Malyshev and R. A. Minlos, Gibbs Random Fields [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1985).