On Large Densities in Fermi Systems

V. A. Malyshev A. A. Zamyatin

Abstract

The goal of this paper is to give some rigorous results, concerning high density behavior of Fermi systems.

Key words: Fermi system, potential, partition function, canonical ensemble, grand canonical ensemble, hamiltonian.

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to prove some rigorous results, concerning high density behavior of Fermi systems. This behavior had been presented in Landau-Lifshitz course, see p. 345 of [1], as follows: for large densities the Fermi system becomes ideal, they mean by this that the kinetic energy of most particles becomes much greater than their interaction (with other particles or external field) energy. However, some work is necessary to make this clear physical picture mathematically well-defined.

It is well known that in the equilibrium quantum statistical mechanics, as $\beta \to \infty$ and under some mild conditions, β -KMS state tends to the ground state, see [2]. On the contrary for fixed β , if the chemical potential μ (for grand canonical ensemble) or the density ρ of particles (for canonical ensemble) tend to infinity, there is no natural limiting state. In this case it is reasonable to study the large μ or large ρ asymptotics of thermodynamic functions, in particular of $\ln Z$, where Z is the partition function.

We want to note that heuristic arguments for the classical system show that it is only possible when the interaction tends to zero as the volume and density tend to infinity. In fact, take the volume Λ with $\rho\Lambda$ particles. Let the radius of potential be r, assume it bounded by vand local. Introduce external field so that this mean interaction energy were 0, in other words, that the system were neutral. By central limit theorem for most particles the interaction energy is of order $v\sqrt{\rho}r^{\frac{d}{2}}$. At the same time the kinetic energy is of order $c(\beta)$. Thus the kinetic energy exceeds the interaction energy if only $vr^{\frac{d}{2}} = o(\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, or $o(\rho^{-1})$ without neutrality condition.

Our rigorous results show that in an one-dimensional model the smallness of potential is not really necessary. This is not quite evident because standard spectrum perturbation theory is not applicable, since difference $\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k-1}$ between consecutive eigenvalues tends to zero, if $k \ll \Lambda^2$.

In [1] neutral systems of M nuclei and N = qM electrons are considered, where q is the charge of the nuclei. Assuming that the nuclei are situated in the vertices of a periodic lattice and the electrons do not interact with each other, we model this as the system of independent spinless Fermi particles in the external potential V.

2 Results

Now we give exact definitions. In a finite interval $\Lambda \subset R$ we consider (one-particle) Hamiltonian

$$h = -\nabla^2 + V$$

in $L^2(\Lambda)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, but other boundary conditions could be considered as well. V is the multiplication operator on the function V(x), it is always assumed to be bounded. Let

$$\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < \dots \varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_k^{(V)}(\Lambda) < \dots$$

be the eigenvalues of this one particle problem. It is known that there are no multiple eigenvalues, see [3], theorem 13.7.50. It is known also that for V = 0

$$\varepsilon_k^{(0)}(\Lambda) = \pi^2 \frac{k^2}{\Lambda^2}$$

Now consider the Fermi gas of independent particles in the external field V in Λ . The canonical partition function is

$$Z_N^{(V)}(\Lambda) = \sum_{0 < k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_N} \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_{k_i}^{(V)}(\Lambda)\right),$$

where N is the number of particles and Λ is the "volume". We always assume that the potential V is such that the limit

$$F = F(\beta, \rho) = \lim \frac{1}{\Lambda} \ln Z_N^{(V)}(\Lambda)$$

exists if $\Lambda \to \infty$ so that $\frac{N}{\Lambda} = \rho$.

Theorem 1 Let V be bounded potential. Then as N and Λ tend to infinity so that $\frac{N}{\Lambda} \to \infty$ we have

$$\frac{\ln Z_N^{(V)}(\Lambda)}{\ln Z_N^{(0)}(\Lambda)} \to 1$$

The grand canonical partition function for Fermi gas in external field with potential V is

$$\Xi^{(V)}_{\mu}(\Lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + z e^{-\beta \varepsilon^{(V)}_{k}(\Lambda)} \right),$$

where $z = \exp(\mu\beta)$ and μ is the chemical potential.

Theorem 2 Let Λ be fixed and V be bounded. Then as $\mu \to \infty$

$$\frac{\ln \Xi_{\mu}^{(V)}\left(\Lambda\right)}{\ln \Xi_{\mu}^{(0)}\left(\Lambda\right)} \to 1$$

Put

$$\Omega_{\mu}^{(V)}(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \ln \Xi_{\mu}^{(V)}(\Lambda)$$

We always assume that the potential V is such that the limit

$$\Omega_{\mu}^{(V)} = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda} \ln \Xi_{\mu}^{(V)} \left(\Lambda\right)$$

exists.

Theorem 3 As $\mu \to \infty$

$$\frac{\Omega_{\mu}^{(V)}}{\Omega_{\mu}^{(0)}} \to 1$$

3 Proofs

We will need the following result.

Lemma 4 If V is bounded then for any Λ

$$|\varepsilon_k(\Lambda, V) - \varepsilon_k(\Lambda, 0)| < C$$

Proof. This fact can be found in the proof of theorem $13.82\frac{1}{2}$ in [4]. We give the sketch of the proof. Consider the analytic family of operators (for fixed Λ)

$$H(a) = -\nabla^2 + aV, 0 \le a \le 1$$

From simplicity of the eigenvalues for any a one can deduce that they analytically depend on a on this interval. Then using the formula

$$\frac{d\varepsilon_k(a)}{da} = (\phi_k(a), aV\phi_k(a))$$

where $\phi_k(a)$ are the corresponding eigenvectors with norm 1, we get

$$|\varepsilon_k(1) - \varepsilon_k(0)| \le \sup_{[0,\Lambda]} |V| = C$$

3.1 Canonical ensemble

Lemma 5 Let $N > \Lambda$. Then

$$Z_N^{(0)}(\Lambda) \le \frac{1}{N!} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\beta}\right)^N \left(1 + \frac{e^\beta \beta N}{\Lambda}\right)^\Lambda \tag{1}$$

Proof. Set for $p = 1, ..., [\Lambda]$

$$Z_{N,p}(\Lambda) = \sum_{\substack{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_p \le [\Lambda] \\ < k_{p+1} < \dots < k_N}} \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_{k_i}^{(0)}(\Lambda)\right) = \sum_{\substack{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_p \le [\Lambda]}} \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{i=1}^p \varepsilon_{k_i}^{(0)}(\Lambda)\right) \sum_{[\Lambda] < k_{p+1} < \dots < k_N} \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{i=p+1}^N \varepsilon_{k_i}^{(0)}(\Lambda)\right)$$

and for p = 0

$$Z_{N,0}(\Lambda) = \sum_{[\Lambda] < k_1 < \dots < k_N} \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_{k_i}^{(0)}(\Lambda)\right)$$

Then

$$Z_N^{(0)}(\Lambda) = \sum_{p=0}^{[\Lambda]} Z_{N,p}(\Lambda)$$

If $k_i > [\Lambda]$, then $\varepsilon_{k_i}^{(0)}(\Lambda) > \frac{k_i}{\Lambda}$ and

$$\sum_{[\Lambda] < k_{p+1} < \dots < k_N} \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{i=p+1}^N \varepsilon_{k_i}^{(0)}(\Lambda)\right) \le \sum_{[\Lambda] < k_{p+1} < \dots < k_N} \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{i=p+1}^N \frac{k_i}{\Lambda}\right) = \sum_{[\Lambda] < k_{p+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta k_{p+1}}{\Lambda}\right) \dots \sum_{k_{N-1} < k_N} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta k_N}{\Lambda}\right) = \exp\left(-\left(N-p\right)\beta\right) \prod_{k=1}^{N-p} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\beta k}{\Lambda}\right)}{1-\exp\left(-\frac{\beta k}{\Lambda}\right)} = \exp\left(-\left(N-p\right)\beta\right) \prod_{k=1}^{N-p} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\beta k}{\Lambda}\right)}{1-\exp\left(-\frac{\beta k}{\Lambda}\right)} = \exp\left(-\left(N-p\right)\beta\right) \prod_{k=1}^{N-p} \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{\beta k}{\Lambda}\right)-1}$$

Using the evident estimate $\exp\left(\frac{\beta k}{\Lambda}\right) - 1 > \frac{\beta k}{\Lambda}$ we get

$$\sum_{[\Lambda] < k_{p+1} < \dots < k_N} \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{i=p+1}^N \varepsilon_{k_i}^{(0)}(\Lambda)\right) \le \frac{\exp\beta\left(p-N\right)}{(N-p)!} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\beta}\right)^{N-p}$$

Further, we may estimate the following sum

$$\sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_p \le [\Lambda]} \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{i=1}^p \varepsilon_{k_i}^{(0)}(\Lambda)\right) \le {[\Lambda] \choose p}$$

Hence,

$$Z_N^{(0)}(\Lambda) = \sum_{p=0}^{[\Lambda]} Z_{N,p}(\Lambda) \le \exp(-\beta N) \sum_{p=0}^{[\Lambda]} {[\Lambda] \choose p} \frac{\exp\beta p}{(N-p)!} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\beta}\right)^{N-p} = \frac{\exp(-\beta N)}{N!} \sum_{p=0}^{[\Lambda]} {[\Lambda] \choose p} \exp\beta p \frac{N!}{(N-p)!} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\beta}\right)^{N-p}$$

But $\frac{N!}{(N-p)!} \leq N^p$ and

$$Z_N^{(0)}(\Lambda) \le \frac{\exp(-\beta N)}{N!} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\beta}\right)^N \sum_{p=0}^{[\Lambda]} {[\Lambda] \choose p} \exp\beta p \left(\frac{\beta N}{\Lambda}\right)^p \le \frac{1}{N!} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\beta}\right)^N \left(1 + \frac{e^\beta \beta N}{\Lambda}\right)^\Lambda$$

The lemma is proved.

Take the logarithm of both sides of inequality (1) and divide by N:

$$\frac{\ln Z_N^{(0)}(\Lambda)}{N} \le \ln \Lambda - \frac{\ln N!}{N} + \frac{\Lambda}{N} \ln \left(1 + \frac{e^\beta \beta N}{\Lambda}\right) - \ln \beta$$

Since for large N

$$\ln N! > N \ln N - N$$

then

$$\frac{\ln Z_N^{(0)}(\Lambda)}{N} \le \ln \Lambda - \ln N + \frac{\Lambda}{N} \ln \left(1 + \frac{e^\beta \beta N}{\Lambda}\right) - \ln \beta + 1 = \\ \ln \frac{\Lambda}{N} + \frac{\ln \left(1 + \frac{e^\beta \beta N}{\Lambda}\right)}{\frac{N}{\Lambda}} - \ln \beta + 1$$

So, we see that the right side of the above inequality tends to $-\infty$ under the conditions of the theorem. It follows that as $N, \Lambda, \frac{N}{\Lambda} \to \infty$

$$\frac{\ln Z_N^{(0)}(\Lambda)}{N} \to -\infty \tag{2}$$

Return to the proof of the theorem. We need to show that as $N, \Lambda, \frac{N}{\Lambda} \to \infty$

$$\left|\frac{\ln Z_N^{(V)}(\Lambda) - \ln Z_N^{(0)}(\Lambda)}{\ln Z_N^{(0)}(\Lambda)}\right| \to 0$$
(3)

It follows from lemma 1 that

$$\left|\frac{\ln Z_N^{(V)}(\Lambda) - \ln Z_N^{(0)}(\Lambda)}{\ln Z_N^{(0)}(\Lambda)}\right| \le \left|\frac{CN}{\ln Z_N^{(0)}(\Lambda)}\right|$$

Now (3) follows from (2).

3.2 Grand canonical ensemble

Proof of theorem 2 Define distribution function of eigenvalues

$$F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t) = \#\{k : \varepsilon_k^{(V)}(\Lambda) \le t\}$$

We have

$$\ln \Xi_{\mu}^{(V)}(\Lambda) = \int_0^\infty \ln \left(1 + e^{\beta(\mu-t)}\right) dF_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t) \tag{4}$$

Let us prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 6 As $t \to \infty$

$$F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t) = \frac{\Lambda\sqrt{t}}{\pi} + O(1) \tag{5}$$

Proof. We give elementary proof, see general result in [5], [6], [7]. Let

$$\varepsilon_k^{(V)}(\Lambda) \le t < \varepsilon_{k+1}^{(V)}(\Lambda)$$

For such $t: F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t) = k$. Using the estimate (lemma 4)

$$|\varepsilon_k^{(V)}(\Lambda) - \varepsilon_k^{(0)}(\Lambda)| \le C$$

find

$$-C + \frac{k^2 \pi^2}{\Lambda^2} \le t \le C + \frac{(k+1)^2 \pi^2}{\Lambda^2}$$

After multiplying by Λ^2/π^2 and extracting the square root we come to the inequality:

$$-1 + \frac{\Lambda\sqrt{t}}{\pi}\sqrt{1 - \frac{C}{t}} \le k \le \frac{\Lambda\sqrt{t}}{\pi}\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{t}}$$

But $k = F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t)$, so

$$-1 + \frac{\Lambda\sqrt{t}}{\pi}\sqrt{1 - \frac{C}{t}} \le F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t) \le \frac{\Lambda\sqrt{t}}{\pi}\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{t}}$$

Subtracting $\frac{\Lambda\sqrt{t}}{\pi}$ we get

$$-1 + \frac{\Lambda\sqrt{t}}{\pi} \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{C}{t}} - 1\right) \le F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t) - \frac{\Lambda\sqrt{t}}{\pi} \le \frac{\Lambda\sqrt{t}}{\pi} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{t}} - 1\right)$$

For t large enough

$$-1 - \frac{\Lambda\sqrt{t}}{\pi}\frac{C}{2t} \le F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t) - \frac{\Lambda\sqrt{t}}{\pi} \le \frac{\Lambda\sqrt{t}}{\pi}\frac{C}{2t}$$

It gives the result of the lemma.

Lemma 7 As $\mu \to \infty$

$$\ln \Xi_{\mu}^{(V)}(\Lambda) \sim \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Lambda}{\pi} \mu^{3/2} \tag{6}$$

Proof. Indeed,

$$\ln \Xi_{\mu}^{(V)}(\Lambda) = \int_0^\infty \ln \left(1 + e^{\beta(\mu - t)}\right) dF_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t)$$

Integrating by parts and using $F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t) \sim \frac{\Lambda}{\pi} \sqrt{t}$ we have

$$\ln \Xi_{\mu}^{(V)}(\Lambda) = \beta \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\beta(\mu-t)}}{1 + e^{\beta(\mu-t)}} F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t) dt =$$
$$= \beta \int_{0}^{\mu} F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t) dt - \beta \int_{0}^{\mu} \frac{F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t)}{1 + e^{\beta(\mu-t)}} dt + \beta \int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t)}{1 + e^{\beta(t-\mu)}} dt$$

It follows from (5), that

$$\int_0^\mu F_\Lambda^{(V)}(t)dt \sim \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Lambda}{\pi} \mu^{3/2} \tag{7}$$

Let us show that

$$\int_{0}^{\mu} \frac{F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t)}{1 + e^{\beta(\mu - t)}} dt = O(\mu^{1/2 + \alpha}), \tag{8}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is arbitrary small. By (5) we can write

$$F_{\Lambda}^{(V)}(t) = c\sqrt{t} + r(t), r(t) = O(1)$$

Let us prove

$$\int_0^\mu \frac{\sqrt{t}}{1 + e^{\beta(\mu - t)}} dt = O(\mu^{1/2})$$

After change of variable $s = t - \mu$

$$\int_{0}^{\mu} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{1 + e^{\beta(\mu - t)}} dt = \int_{-\mu}^{0} \frac{\sqrt{\mu + s}}{1 + e^{-\beta s}} dt = \sqrt{\mu} \int_{-\mu}^{0} \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{s}{\mu}}}{1 + e^{-\beta s}} dt = O(\mu^{1/2})$$

It gives formula (8) since

$$\int_0^{\mu} \frac{r(t)}{1 + e^{\beta(\mu - t)}} dt = O(1)$$

Let us show now that

$$\int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{F_{\Lambda}^{(q)}(t)}{1 + e^{\beta(t-\mu)}} dt = O(\mu^{1/2})$$
(9)

After change of variable we get

$$\int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{F_{\Lambda}^{(q)}(t)}{1 + e^{\beta(t-\mu)}} dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{F_{\Lambda}^{(q)}(\mu+t)}{1 + e^{\beta t}} dt =$$
$$= c \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\mu+t}}{1 + e^{\beta t}} dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r(\mu+t)}{1 + e^{\beta t}} dt$$

It is clear that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\mu+t}}{1+e^{\beta t}} dt = \sqrt{\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{1+\frac{t}{\mu}}}{1+e^{\beta t}} dt = O(\mu^{1/2})$$
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r(\mu+t)}{1+e^{\beta t}} dt = O(1)$$

Formulas (7), (8) and (9) prove the lemma.

Now the assertion of the theorem follows from (6).

Proof of theorem 3 By theorem 2 we have

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda} \ln \Xi_{\mu-C}^{(0)}(\Lambda) \le \frac{1}{\Lambda} \ln \Xi_{\mu}^{(V)}(\Lambda) \le \frac{1}{\Lambda} \ln \Xi_{\mu+C}^{(0)}(\Lambda)$$
(10)

It is well known that

$$\lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda} \ln \Xi^{(0)}_{\mu}(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \ln(1 + e^{\beta(\mu - p^2)}) dp$$

After the change of variables $t = p^2$ and integrating by parts we get

$$\int_0^\infty \ln(1 + e^{\beta(\mu - p^2)}) dp = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{t}}{1 + e^{\beta(t - \mu)}} dt$$

It was shown above

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{t}}{1 + e^{\beta(t-\mu)}} dt \sim K\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}, \mu \to \infty$$

So the result follows from (10).

4 Bibliography

References

- Landay L.D. Lifshitz E.M. Course of Theoretical Physics, v. 5: Statistical Physics. M: Nauka, 1976.
- [2] Bratteli O. Robinson D.W. Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 2. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [3] Danford N. Shwartz D. Linear operators. Part 2 Spectral theory. Moscow. Mir, 1981.
- [4] Reed M. Simon B. Methods of modern mathematical physics. Part 4. Analysis of operators. Moscow, Mir, 1966.
- [5] Shubin M.A. Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory. M: Nauka, 1978.
- [6] Marchenko V.A. Schturm-Luoville operators and their application. Kiev: Naukova dumka, 1977.
- [7] Safarov Yu. Vassiliev D. The asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues of partial differential equation.